

Kata Kunci : Sanksi, Kode Etik, Majelis Kehormatan
Mahkamah Konstitusi

ABSTRACT

This research discusses the Juridical Analysis of the Implementation of Sanctions for Violating the Code of Ethics in the Decision of the Honorary Council of the Constitutional Court (MKMK) Number 2/MKMK/L/II/2023. In maintaining and upholding the honor, dignity and code of ethics of the Constitutional Court, the Constitutional Court formed the Honorary Council to maintain the dignity and honor of the Court. The formulation of this problem is: How are the legal facts and legal considerations of the MKMK Decision N0.2/MKMK/L/II/2023 and how are the implementation of sanctions in the MKMK decision N0.2/MKMK/L/II/2023 viewed from the regulations of the Constitutional Court Judge's Code of Ethics. The aim of this research is to analyze legal facts and legal considerations and to analyze the application of ethical code sanctions in terms of the Constitutional Court judges' code of ethics regulations. The method used in this research is normative. The results of this research are that the decision between judges in the Constitutional Court Decision Number 90/PUU-XXI/2023 shows that there is no independence of judges, so it refers to a violation of the independence principles contained in Sapta Karsa Hutama and The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct 2002. In addition, a violation of the judge's code of ethics does not affect the validity of the decision, which means the decision must continue to be implemented in accordance with statutory provisions. Violations of the code of ethics by judges affect the judges themselves. Therefore, the rules regarding the inability of Constitutional Court judges to decide on cases related to certain interests related to the family should be regulated more clearly and firmly.

Keywords : Sanctions, Code of Ethics, Honorary Council of the Constitutional Court

DAFTAR ISI

| | |
|--|------|
| HALAMAN SAMPUL DEPAN..... | iii |
| HALAMAN SAMPUL DALAM | ii |
| LEMBAR PERSETUJUAN..... | iii |
| LEMBAR PENGESAHAN..... | iv |
| MOTO PERSEMPAHAN | v |
| PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIASI | vi |
| KATA PENGANTAR..... | vii |
| ABSTRAK | x |
| DAFTAR ISI..... | xiii |
| BAB I PENDAHULUAN..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Latar Belakang Masalah | 1 |
| 1.2 Rumusan Masalah..... | 7 |
| 1.3 Tujuan Penelitian..... | 8 |
| 1.4 Manfaat Penelitian | 8 |
| 1.5 Penelitian Terdahulu..... | 9 |
| 1.6 Metode Peneltian..... | 13 |
| 1.7 Bahan Hukum | 15 |
| 1.8 Tehnik Pengumpulan Data..... | 15 |
| 1.9 Metode Analisis Hukum..... | 16 |
| 1.10 Sistematika Pembahasan | 16 |
| BAB II TINJAUAN PUSATAKA..... | 18 |

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Tinjauan Umum Tentang Analisis Yuridis..... | 18 |
| 2.2 | Tinjauan Umum Tentang Sanksi..... | 25 |
| 2.3 | Tinjauan Umum Tentang Pelanggaran.... | 27 |
| 2.4 | Tinjauan Umum Tentang Kode Etik Profesi Hukum..... | 36 |
| 2.5 | Tinjauan Umum Tentang Majelis Kehormatan Mahkamah Konstitusi..... | 78 |
| BAB III HASIL DAN PEMBAHASAN | | 96 |
| 3.1 | Penerapan Sanksi dalam Putusan MKMK | 96 |
| 3.1 | Dampak Dalam Putusan MKMK | 107 |
| BAB IV KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN | | 113 |
| 4.1 | Kesimpulan..... | 113 |
| 4.2 | Saran..... | 114 |
| DAFTAR PUSTAKA..... | | 116 |